

Brief Communication

The importance of assessing heavy metals in medicinal herbs: a quantitative study

Bhagyashree Behera¹, Sanjib Bhattacharya^{2,*}

¹Edward Food Research and Analysis Centre Ltd., Kolkata 700121, West Bengal, India; ²Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, PCTE Group of Institutes, Ludhiana 142021, Punjab, India

ABSTRACT

Consumption of herbal products from the medicinal plants contaminated with heavy metals can cause serious consequences on human health. This is a major concern for traditional and herbal medicine. The present study was carried out to analyze and quantify the levels of six potentially toxic heavy metals namely arsenic, lead, cadmium, mercury, chromium and nickel in ten important Indian medicinal herbs. The air dried raw herbs were processed by microwave assisted wet digestion and analyzed by using atomic absorption spectrophotometer equipped with graphite tube atomizer. Except the chromium content in three plants, all the levels of six heavy metals analyzed were found to be quite below the permissible limits in all the ten raw medicinal herbs analyzed. The present work implies that, regular and systematic screening of raw medicinal herbs is necessary to check the levels of the heavy metal contaminants before using them for consumption or preparation of herbal formulations so that the possible contamination cannot cumulate up to the finished products.

Keywords heavy metals, atomic absorption spectrophotometer, medicinal herbs, toxicity

INTRODUCTION

The use of plant and plant products in treatment of diseases is as old as mankind. Traditional and herbal medicines being natural are still preferred to contemporary synthetic medicines by a major section of the world. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), 80% of the world population relies on herbal medicines, for their primary health care needs (WHO, 2007). The major merits of traditional or herbal medicine seem to be their perceived efficacy, low incidences of serious adverse effects and comparatively low cost.

Accumulation of toxic industrial effluents in the soil, air and water is continuously increasing due to rapid urbanization and extensive pollution of the environment. Among these toxic substances, presence of heavy metals (atomic weights 63.5 - 200.6 g/mol and a specific gravity greater than 5 g/cm³) which are ubiquitous in nature, cause serious harmful effects on living organisms especially humans (Nies, 1999).

Plants are sensitive to environmental conditions and they accumulate these heavy metals in their harvestable parts (via root uptake, foliar adsorption and deposition of specific elements in leaves) and intensity of this uptake process can change the overall elemental composition of the plant (Olajire and Ayodele, 2003). Some of the heavy metals namely arsenic, lead, cadmium and mercury are not essential for plants and these are insidiously toxic. The possibility that toxic heavy metals can be translocated to humans and animals through the use of herbs grown in polluted areas is a major concern for

traditional and herbal medicine (Yap et al., 2010). Consumption of herbal products from the medicinal plants, grown in polluted sites can cause serious consequences on human health. For getting desirable therapeutic benefits, quality of these raw herbs must be ensured in terms of heavy metal contamination. Due to this reason, WHO advocates that herbs and herbal products should not be used without qualitative and quantitative analysis of their heavy metals contents (WHO, 2007).

The medicinal plants-related trade in India has been estimated at Rs 5000 crores per annum with an annual growth rate of 7 - 15% (Joshi et al., 2004), may face serious consequences if heavy metal accumulation beyond permissible limits is detected. Therefore, assessment of these hazardous metals in raw herbal material and finished products must be undertaken compulsorily. Heavy metal analysis of raw herbs should be prioritized so that the contamination cannot accumulate up to the finished products. This may be regarded as raw material quality assurance strategy.

Hence, there is a relevant necessity for assessment of these heavy metals in medicinal plants to ascertain the level of heavy metal contaminants in herbal raw materials. The present study was designed to quantitatively analyze the levels of six potentially toxic heavy metals namely arsenic (As), lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), mercury (Hg), chromium (Cr) and nickel (Ni) in ten raw medicinal herbs (Table 1). The medicinal plants were selected on the basis of their established-medicinal properties, significant importance in Ayurveda, the traditional system of Indian medicine, along with their frequent utilization in traditional as well as contemporary pharmaceutical, dietary and cosmetics preparations worldwide.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials

*Correspondence: Sanjib Bhattacharya

E-mail: sakkwai@yahoo.com

Received October 5, 2015; **Accepted** February 15, 2016; **Published** February 29, 2016

doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5667/tang.2015.0029>

© 2016 by Association of Humanitas Medicine

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license.

(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>)

Table 1. Plant materials used in the study

Serial Number	Common Name	Botanical Name	Part analyzed
1	Vidanga	<i>Embelia ribes</i>	Fruit
2	Ginger	<i>Zingiber officinalis</i>	Rhizome
3	Shatavari	<i>Asparagus racemosus</i>	Root
4	Liquorice	<i>Glycyrrhiza glabra</i>	Root and Stolon
5	Arjuna	<i>Terminalia arjuna</i>	Stem bark
6	Amla	<i>Emblica officinalis</i>	Fruit
7	Safed musli	<i>Chlorophytum borivilianum</i>	Root
8	Senna	<i>Cassia angustifolia</i>	Leaf
9	Kutki	<i>Picrorrhiza kurroa</i>	Root and Rhizome
10	Pipul	<i>Piper longum</i>	Fruit

Ten raw medicinal herbs (Table 1) were procured from the medicinal plant supplier namely M/s. S. N. Das & Co., Burrabazar, Kolkata 70001, West Bengal, India. The plant materials were authenticated at the Central National Herbarium, Botanical Survey of India, Shibpur, Howrah, West Bengal, India. The plant materials were shade dried and homogenized and ground mechanically into coarse powders and subjected to analysis.

Reagents and standards

Supra pure nitric acid was form J. T. Baker and analytical grade hydrogen peroxide was from Merck. Standard stock solutions (NIST traceable) of As, Pb, Cd, Hg, Cr, Ni were from Merck. All the solutions and dilutions were prepared by using Milli-Q water (Millipore, Elix).

Sample preparation

The sample of each plant material was prepared by microwave assisted wet digestion. Briefly, about 0.5 g of powdered plant material was mixed with 5 ml of nitric acid (68%) and 1 ml of hydrogen peroxide (30%) solution in a clean dry Teflon digestion tube and subjected to microwave assisted digestion in a microwave digester (CEM Corp., USA). After digestion the digest was filtered and quantitatively transferred into 25 ml volumetric flask and made up to volume with Milli-Q water. Further dilutions were made if necessary.

Instrumental procedure

The standard stock solutions (1000 ppm) were diluted to obtain working standard solutions ranging from 1 ppb to 15 ppb and stored at 4°C. The calibration curves were plotted between measured absorbance and concentration. The prepared samples were immediately analyzed using atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS, Agilent 280FS AA) equipped with graphite tube atomizer (GTA 120). The instrument was operated in GTA mode, the argon gas flow was 3 L/min and the temperature parameters were followed as recommended by the instrument manufacturer. Optimized operating parameters of

Table 2. Operating parameters for the instrument (AAS-GTA)

Serial number	Heavy metals	Wave length (nm)	Lamp intensity (mA)	Slit width (nm)
1	As	193.7	10	0.5
2	Pb	283.3	10	0.7
3	Cd	228.8	8	0.7
4	Hg	253.7	4	0.5
5	Cr	357.9	10	0.2
6	Ni	232.0	10	0.2

various heavy metals are listed in Table 2. All analyses were run in batches, which included standards (for calibration curves), reagent blanks and plant samples. The heavy metal concentrations were expressed in parts per million (ppm) with respect to the dry weight of the plant materials. All the samples were analyzed in duplicates and the result averaged.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Atmosphere and soil are continuously being polluted with chemicals and heavy metals due to dynamic development of industries and motorization along with extensive use of pesticides and fertilizers. In turn, these pollutants and heavy metals are getting accumulated in the plants growing in the polluted areas, which subsequently enter the human food chain via plant parts and extracts or preparations thereof. The environmental impact of these metals as well as their adverse health effects has been a source of major concern worldwide.

Nowadays, Indian medicinal plants have been regarded as a potential source of heavy metal toxicity to both man and animals (Dwivedi and Dey, 2002). The most common heavy metals implicated in human toxicity include arsenic, lead, cadmium and mercury although nickel and chromium may also cause toxicity. Except nickel, WHO prescribes limits of all five toxic heavy metals in raw medicinal herbs (WHO, 2007) (Table 3). In India, likewise WHO, the Ayurvedic Pharmacopoeia of India recommends that medicinal plants, which form the raw materials for most herbal remedies, should be checked for the presence of the first four above said heavy metals especially and prescribes limits for them (Anonymous, 2009). However, majority of Indian people, living in areas where these plants grow, harvest them locally for their own medicinal use without checking for heavy metal accumulation. Most of the Indian Ayurvedic and herbal companies procure the raw herbs from commercial suppliers and use in formulations without checking them for heavy metals. In the present study, ten important medicinal raw herbs recognized in Ayurveda were subjected to quantitative heavy metal analysis by AAS. The results of analysis of six heavy metals in ten raw medicinal herbs are summarized in Table 4.

Table 3. Permissible limits for toxic heavy metals in raw medicinal herbs (ppm) as per WHO (WHO, 2007)

Sl. No.	Countries	As	Pb	Cd	Hg	Cr
1	General	-	10	0.3	-	-
2	Canada	5	10	0.3	0.2	2
3	China	2	10	1	0.5	-
4	Thailand	4	10	0.3	-	-

Arsenic is a toxic non-essential element. Its contamination is caused from geological sources leaching into aquifers, contaminating water and may also occur from mining, pesticide application and other industrial processes. It is present as a contaminant in many traditional remedies. Groundwater arsenic toxicity is a serious public health problem affecting many millions of people in Ganges delta incorporating Eastern India (West Bengal state) and Bangladesh (Bhattacharya et al., 2014). Trivalent arsenic is more toxic to mammals than its pentavalent form. Arsenic exerts its toxicity by inactivating up to 200 enzymes, especially those involved in cellular energy pathways, DNA synthesis and repair. Acute arsenic poisoning is associated initially with nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, severe diarrhea, encephalopathy and peripheral neuropathy. Chronic toxicity results multisystem diseases including carcinogenesis affecting almost all organs (Ratnaik, 2003; Bhattacharya et al., 2014). Maximum permissible limit for arsenic in raw herbs is 3.0 ppm as per the Ayurvedic Pharmacopoeia of India (Anonymous, 2009). Here, arsenic was not detected in *E. officinalis* and *P. longum*. In all other plants arsenic content was within limit defined by the pharmacopoeia and by WHO.

Lead is the most frequently occurring and stable heavy metal in nature. It is highly hazardous for plants, animals and micro-organisms. Continuous application of fertilizers, fuel combustion and sewage sludge are the major reasons leading to escalation in lead pollution. It is a non-essential element that can be introduced to human by inhalation, ingestion or cutaneous absorption. It is a serious cumulative body poison. Levels of lead beyond the permissible limits or long term use of these contaminated plants could lead to toxicity characterized by colic, anemia, chronic nephritis, headache, convulsions, brain damage and central nervous system disorders (Klaassen, 2001; Tong, 2000). The Ayurvedic Pharmacopoeia of India recommends the maximum permissible limit of lead is 10.0 ppm in raw herbs (Anonymous, 2009). In the present study, lead was detected in all plant samples and the values were around 1 ppm which was well below the prescribed limit by the pharmacopoeia and WHO.

Cadmium is a non-essential trace element with uncertain direct functions in both plants and humans and responsible for several cases of poisoning through food. Recently, it is gaining more attention due to wide occurrence in water, soil, milk, dietary and herbal medicinal products (Singh et al., 2014). Small quantities of cadmium cause adverse changes in the arteries of human kidney leading to kidney failure. It accumulates in human body, replaces zinc biochemically and causes hypertension, liver and kidney damage. Cadmium poisoning causes a disease called Itai-itai characterized by

softening of bones, anemia, renal failure and ultimately death (Nordberg, 1999). The maximum allowable limit for cadmium in raw herbs is 0.3 ppm as per Ayurvedic Pharmacopoeia of India (Anonymous, 2009). In the present analysis, cadmium was not detected in *E. ribes*, *A. racemosus*, *T. arjuna*, *E. officinalis*, *C. angustifolia* and *P. longum*. In rest of the herbs, cadmium content was found within the permissible pharmacopoeial and WHO limits.

Mercury can cause adverse effects on the renal and nervous systems and can cross the placental barrier, with potential toxic effects on the fetus (Risher and WHO, 2003). Mercury exposure for the general population occurs mainly from consumption of fish, as methyl mercury and possibly from dental amalgam fillings (Bhat and Moy, 1997). Levels of mercury beyond the allowable limits have been associated with infertility, inhibition of endogenous antioxidant enzymes and brain damage. As per Ayurvedic Pharmacopoeia of India, the maximum permissible limit of mercury in raw herbs is 1.0 ppm (Anonymous, 2009). In the present study, mercury was not detected in the plant samples tested except *G. glabra* where mercury level was found within the limit value defined by the pharmacopoeia and WHO.

Chromium contamination is caused by tanneries, paper, paint and steel industries; and sewage sludge applications along with alloys in motor vehicles. Chromium is essential in carbohydrate metabolism. It also functions in protein and cholesterol biosynthesis. It is an important element required for the maintenance of normal glucose metabolism. The function of chromium is directly related to the function of insulin, which plays a very important role in diabetes mellitus. Chromium is found in the pancreas, which produces insulin (Ano and Ubochi, 2008; Zetić et al., 2001). The toxic effects of chromium intake are skin rash, nose irritations, bleeds, stomach upset, kidney and liver damage and lung cancer. Chromium deficiency is characterized by disturbance in glucose lipids and protein metabolism (Rai et al., 2005; Shanker et al., 2005). The permissible limit for chromium in raw herbal materials is 2.0 ppm in Canada as per WHO (Table 3). In the present investigation, except *G. glabra*, *C. borivilianum* and *P. longum* all the samples possessed chromium content within said permissible Canadian limits defined by the WHO.

The most common ailment arising from Nickel is an allergic dermatitis known as nickel itch, which usually occurs when skin is moist, further more Nickel has been identified as a suspected carcinogen and adversely affects lungs and nasal cavities. Although Nickel is required in minute quantity for body as it is mostly present in the pancreas and hence plays an important role in the production of insulin. Its deficiency results in the disorder of liver (Pendias and Pendias, 1992).

Table 4. Heavy metal contents in medicinal herbs (ppm)

Sl. No.	Sample	As	Pb	Cd	Hg	Cr	Ni
1	<i>E. ribes</i>	0.62	1.01	BLQ	BLQ	1.41	0.41
2	<i>Z. officinalis</i>	0.53	1.32	0.06	BLQ	1.89	0.50
3	<i>A. racemosus</i>	0.07	0.94	BLQ	BLQ	1.18	0.61
4	<i>G. glabra</i>	0.13	0.98	0.08	0.06	2.04	0.77
5	<i>T. arjuna</i>	0.35	1.06	BLQ	BLQ	1.96	0.58
6	<i>E. officinalis</i>	BLQ	0.72	BLQ	BLQ	0.79	1.08
7	<i>C. borivilianum</i>	0.09	0.89	0.07	BLQ	2.18	0.65
8	<i>C. angustifolia</i>	0.06	1.28	BLQ	BLQ	1.20	0.47
9	<i>P. kurroa</i>	0.41	0.96	0.05	BLQ	1.56	1.12
10	<i>P. longum</i>	BLQ	0.68	BLQ	BLQ	3.08	0.34

BLQ: Below limit of quantitation i.e., 0.05 ppm.

Nickel was recognized as an allergen of the year in 2008 by the American Contact Dermatitis Society and its minimal risk level was set to 0.2 µg/m³ for inhalation during 15 - 364 days, however, no limit has been set for food stuffs and herbs (Bhat et al., 2010). In the present experiment, less than 1.5 ppm nickel content was found in all the test samples. WHO does not define any permissible limit for nickel neither in raw herbs nor in herbal products.

The Ayurvedic Pharmacopoeia of India however, does not prescribe any limit for chromium and nickel neither in raw herbs nor in finished medicinal products.

Form the present investigation, it can be concluded that except the chromium content of *G. glabra*, *P. longum* and *C. borivilianum*, all the levels of six potentially toxic heavy metals analyzed were found to be quite below the permissible limits in all the ten raw medicinal herbs analyzed. The implication of the present findings may be taken into consideration whilst dealing with the medicinal herbs for human or animal consumption. The results suggest that regular and systematic screening of raw medicinal herbs at quantitative basis is necessary to check the levels of the heavy metal pollutants prior to using them for consumption or manufacture of herbal medicinal formulations so that the possible contamination cannot reach up to the finished herbal products. It is therefore further advised that, medicinal herbs used for human or veterinary use or for preparation of herbal products and standardized extracts should be collected from an unpolluted natural habitat.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to the authority of Edward Food Research and Analysis Centre Ltd., Kolkata 700121, West Bengal, India for necessary instrumental facilities related to the present study.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflicting financial interests.

REFERENCES

- Ano AO, Ubochi CI. Nutrient composition of climbing and prostrate vegetable cowpea accessions. *Afr J Biotechnol*. 2008;7:3795-3796.
- Anonymous. The Ayurvedic Pharmacopoeia of India. Part I, Vol. VI. 2009. Available at: www.ayurveda.hu (accessed on 21st September 2015).
- Baht RV, Moy GG. Monitoring and assessment of dietary exposure to chemical contaminants. *World Health Stat Q*. 1997;50:132-149.
- Bhat R, Kiran K, Arun AB, Karim AA. Determination of mineral composition and heavy metal content of some nutraceutically valued plant products. *Food Anal Meth*. 2010;3:181-187.
- Bhattacharya S, Das SK, Halder PK. Arsenic induced myocardial toxicity in rats: Alleviative effect of *Trichosanthes dioica* fruit. *J Diet Suppl*. 2014;11:248-261.
- Bhattacharya S, Halder PK. Ameliorative effect *Trichosanthes dioica* root against experimentally induced arsenic toxicity in male albino rats. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol*. 2012;33:394-402.
- Dwivedi SK, Dey S. Medicinal herbs: A potential source of toxic metal exposure for man and animals in India. *Arch Environ Health*. 2002;57:229-231.
- Joshi K, Chavan P, Warude D, Patwardhan B. Molecular markers in herbal drug technology. *Curr Sci India*. 2004;87:159-165.
- Klaassen CD. Casarett and Doull's toxicology: the basic science of poisons. (New York, USA: McGraw-Hill), 2001.
- Nies DH. Microbial heavy-metal resistance. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*. 1999;51:730-750.
- Nordberg G. Excursions of intake above ADI: case study on cadmium. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol*. 1999;30:S57-S62.
- Olajire AA, Ayodele ET. Study of atmospheric pollution levels by trace elements analysis of tree bark and leaves. *Bull Chem Soc Ethiop*. 2003;17:11-17.
- Pendias AK, Pendias H. Trace Elements in Soils and Plants. (Boca Raton, USA: CRC Press), 1992.
- Rai V, Agnihotri AK, Khatoon S, Rawat AK, Mehrotra S. Chromium in some herbal drugs. *Bull Environ Contam Toxicol*. 2005;74:464-469.
- Ratnaike RN. Acute and chronic arsenic toxicity. *Postgrad Med J*. 2003;79:391-396.
- Risher JF, WHO. Elemental mercury and inorganic mercury compounds : human health aspects, 50. (Geneva, Switzerland; World Health Organization), 2003.
- Shanker AK, Cervantes C, Loza-Tavera H, Avudainayagam S. Chromium toxicity in plants. *Environ Int*. 2005;31:739-753.
- Singh KP, Bhattacharya S, Sharma P. Assessment of heavy metal contents of some Indian medicinal plants. *American-Eurasian J Agric Environ Sci*. 2014;14:1125-1129.
- Tong S, von Schirnding YE, Prapamontol T. Environmental lead exposure: a public problem of global dimension. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2000;78:1068-1077.
- Underwood EJ. Trace elements in human and animal nutrition. (Cambridge, USA: Academic Press Inc.), 1997.
- WHO. WHO Guidelines for Assessing Quality of Herbal Medicines with Reference to Contaminants and Residues. (Geneva, Switzerland; World Health Organization), 2007.
- Yap CK, Fitri MRM, Mazyhar Y, Tan SG. Effects of metal-contaminated soils on the accumulation of heavy metals in different parts of *Centella asiatica*: A laboratory study. *Sains Malaysiana*. 2010;39:347-352.
- Zetić VG, Stehlik-Tomas V, Grba S, Lutilsky L, Kozlek D. Chromium uptake by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and isolation of glucose tolerance factor from yeast biomass. *J Biosci*. 2001;26:217-223.