



Print ISSN: 1738-3110 / Online ISSN 2093-7717  
 JDS website: <http://accesson.kr/jds>  
<http://doi.org/10.15722/jds.23.04.202504.103>

# Unequal Distribution of Climate Change Impacts across Regions: Towards Climate Justice\*

Choongik CHOI<sup>1</sup>

Received: January 10, 2025. Revised: January 29, 2025. Accepted: April 05, 2025.

## Abstract

**Purpose:** This study explores the complex relationship between climate change, inequality, and the pursuit of carbon neutrality. The research also deals with how climate impacts and the burdens of the carbon-neutral transition are unevenly distributed across nations, cities, and individuals, emphasizing the importance of equitable and inclusive strategies to foster sustainability. **Research design, data and methodology:** The study employs a multidisciplinary approach to analyze the historical, structural, and intersectional dimensions of climate change inequality. It investigates the uneven distribution of climate impacts due to embedded risk factors and examines the role of science and technology in achieving carbon neutrality. **Results:** Findings reveal stark disparities between industrialized and developing nations, with marginalized communities disproportionately bearing climate impacts. Limited access to funding, technology, and decision-making exacerbates these inequalities. Additionally, mechanisms such as carbon offsets often intensify disparities, transferring burdens to vulnerable regions while benefitting wealthier actors. **Conclusions:** The study underscores the necessity of adopting inclusive, intersectional strategies for addressing climate crises. It calls for equitable policy frameworks, enhanced resource access for disadvantaged groups, and a redefined global commitment to fostering climate justice in the carbon-neutral era.

**Keywords :** Market-based Mechanism, Unequal Distribution, Carbon Trading, Climate Change, Climate Justice, Carbon Neutrality

**JEL Classification Code:** Q01, Q56, R11, K32

## 1. Introduction

Climate change deeply affects countries, cities, and individuals, reshaping political, economic, social, and cultural systems. Therefore, addressing climate inequality and advancing climate justice are critical. This necessitates a re-examination of the humanities and social sciences discourse on climate change, often overshadowed by technological and engineering approaches, alongside the role of science and technology in facilitating a just transition. This study explores the crisis and inequality driven by

climate change, alongside humanity's urgent pursuit of carbon neutrality. By examining how climate impacts are distributed unevenly across space and time due to embedded risk factors, the research addresses the discourse on climate crisis and inequality from the perspectives of the state, cities, and individuals. It investigates the practical role of science and technology in achieving carbon neutrality and a just transition. The carbon-neutral era marks a global commitment to mitigating climate change, yet it also reveals stark inequalities in how the burdens and benefits of this transition are distributed.

\* This work was supported by the Ministry of Education of the Republic of Korea and the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2022S1A5A2A01038976)

<sup>1</sup> First Author. Professor, Department of Public Administration, Faculty, Kangwon National University, South Korea.  
 Email: choich@kangwon.ac.kr.

© Copyright: The Author(s)

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The global shift toward a carbon-neutral economy reflects a collective commitment to mitigating climate change, yet it also highlights significant disparities in the allocation of costs and benefits associated with this transition. Industrialized nations, which historically contributed the majority of greenhouse gas emissions, continue to benefit from advanced trade infrastructures and technological access. In contrast, developing countries and economically marginalized communities disproportionately shoulder the logistical and environmental burdens of climate change (Batz & Ott, 2017).

The principle of "common but differentiated responsibilities" (CBDR), a cornerstone of international climate agreements, acknowledges these disparities. However, inadequate implementation often leaves developing nations without sufficient resources or trade support to meet their climate commitments (Ji & Lin, 2022). Similarly, the renewable energy transition frequently benefits wealthier nations with superior access to financing, technological delivery systems, and skilled labor, while poorer countries struggle to overcome logistical barriers and funding constraints (Cantarero, 2020; Cloke et al., 2017).

Intersectional inequalities further complicate the issue, as women, indigenous populations, and economically disadvantaged groups experience heightened vulnerability to climate impacts yet remain underrepresented in decision-making processes (Guterman, 2022). Additionally, market-based mechanisms like carbon trading and offsets frequently exacerbate disparities, allowing wealthier actors to outsource emissions reductions to less affluent regions, increasing the burdens on vulnerable communities (Chancel, 2020; Chancel, 2022). This paper examines the discourse and practice of climate change inequality in the carbon-neutral era, focusing on historical, structural, and intersectional dimensions. By analyzing these dynamics, the study aims to highlight the necessity of inclusive and equitable strategies for addressing the climate crisis and fostering a just transition to sustainability.

## **2. Historical Foundations of Climate Change Inequality**

### **2.1. The Origins of Climate Change Inequality**

The origins of climate change inequality can be traced back to the era of industrialization, during which wealthy, industrialized nations developed economies that relied heavily on fossil fuels, leading to substantial greenhouse gas emissions. These emissions, accumulated over centuries, have created a significant carbon debt, placing a disproportionate responsibility for climate change on these historically advanced economies. The uneven distribution of

emissions has resulted in stark disparities in climate impacts, with developing countries facing more severe consequences despite contributing less to global greenhouse gas emissions (Ji & Lin, 2022).

The principle of "common but differentiated responsibilities" (CBDR), established in the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), recognizes the unequal contributions to climate change and the need for differentiated approaches to mitigation. However, this principle has often been contested, as industrialized nations resist significant cuts in emissions while developing countries struggle to access the financial and technological resources required for climate action (Thompson, 2017; Batz & Ott, 2017).

The legacy of historical emissions continues to shape global climate politics, with developed nations benefiting from years of economic growth driven by fossil fuel use, while developing countries face heightened vulnerability due to their limited emissions yet greater exposure to climate impacts (Beck et al., 2013). This unequal distribution of emissions has led to ongoing debates about climate justice and the fairness of international climate agreements (Schor, 2015).

Furthermore, climate finance has been unevenly allocated, with wealthier nations providing insufficient funding to support developing countries in building resilience to climate change. This creates a divide in the capacity to adapt and develop sustainable infrastructure, deepening global inequalities (Chancel, 2020). The historical foundations of climate change inequality highlight the need for a more equitable approach to global climate governance—one that acknowledges the carbon debt accumulated by industrialized nations and promotes a just transition for all countries, regardless of their current economic status (Guterman, 2022).

### **2.2. The Principle of Common but Differentiated Responsibilities: Historical Foundations of Climate Change Inequality**

The Principle of Common but Differentiated Responsibilities (CBDR) has been a cornerstone of international climate policy since its establishment in the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) in 1992. This principle acknowledges that while all nations share the responsibility for addressing climate change, their contributions and capabilities vary based on historical emissions and economic development (Thompson, 2017).

Throughout the industrial era, wealthy, industrialized nations accumulated the majority of global greenhouse gas emissions, creating a significant carbon debt. These historical emissions have placed disproportionate

responsibility on developed countries to lead in climate mitigation efforts, while simultaneously providing support to developing nations that are disproportionately affected by climate change despite their limited contributions to global emissions (Ji & Lin, 2022; Chancel, 2020). The unequal distribution of historical emissions has fueled debates around fairness and equity in global climate governance. While developed nations advocate for stringent emissions reductions, developing countries push for more support in terms of finance, technology transfer, and capacity building to adapt to climate impacts (Beck et al., 2013).

Moreover, the CBDR principle recognizes that developing countries require flexibility and support to meet climate goals. This has led to initiatives such as climate finance, technology transfer, and capacity-building programs designed to address the disparities created by historical inequalities in emissions (Cantarero, 2020). The historical foundations of CBDR highlight the need for a just transition that incorporates both mitigation and adaptation efforts, ensuring that those who have contributed least to climate change are not left to bear the greatest burdens (Gutterman, 2022).

### 2.3. Global Policy Frameworks and Their Implications

Global policy frameworks, such as the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), have played a pivotal role in shaping the international response to climate change. These frameworks were designed to address the unequal contributions to global warming, stemming from the historical emissions of developed and developing countries (Thompson, 2017).

The Industrial Revolution, primarily driven by nations in the Global North, resulted in significant emissions, creating a "carbon debt" that developed countries continue to grapple with today. In contrast, developing nations, which have contributed less historically, face disproportionate impacts of climate change, such as extreme weather events and rising sea levels (Beck et al., 2013). The CBDR principle, embedded in these frameworks, recognizes these historical inequalities and calls for differentiated responsibilities, with developed countries taking on greater emission reduction targets and providing financial and technological support to less wealthy nations (Ji & Lin, 2022; Zhang et al., 2022). However, despite the formal recognition of this principle, the implementation of global policy frameworks has often fallen short. Developed countries have been slow to meet their financial commitments and technological transfer obligations, hindering the progress needed to support vulnerable developing countries (Kaijser & Kronsell, 2014).

This persistent gap in resources and capacity reflects the historical inequalities that global climate governance seeks

to address. The historical foundations of climate change inequality highlight the critical need for global policy frameworks to be designed in ways that ensure fairness and equity. These policies must integrate both mitigation and adaptation efforts, ensuring that all nations, regardless of historical emissions, can pursue sustainable development while protecting vulnerable populations (Schor, 2015).

## 3. Historical Foundations of Climate Change Inequality

### 3.1. The Global Transition to Carbon Neutrality: Challenges and Opportunities

The global transition to carbon neutrality has been marked by significant disparities in access to renewable energy technologies (RET). These technologies, such as solar panels, wind turbines, and battery storage systems, hold the potential to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and promote sustainable energy development (Thompson, 2017). However, the uneven distribution of RET has deep historical roots tied to socio-economic, geographic, and institutional inequalities. Developed nations in the Global North, which have had greater financial and technological resources, have been able to invest heavily in renewable energy infrastructure. This has enabled them to lead the global transition to low-carbon energy systems (Zhang & Hanaoka, 2023). In contrast, developing countries in the Global South face significant barriers to accessing and adopting these technologies, including limited financial resources, lack of infrastructure, and insufficient technical expertise (Ji & Lin, 2022; Zhang et al., 2022; Cloke et al., 2017).

This uneven transition exacerbates existing inequalities, as those with limited access to RET—primarily in rural and marginalized communities—continue to rely heavily on fossil fuels for energy (Kaijser & Kronsell, 2014). Without adequate support, these communities are left behind in the global shift towards sustainability, leading to widening disparities in economic development and environmental resilience (Schor, 2015). Addressing these inequalities requires targeted policies that ensure equal access to RET across all regions, particularly through financial incentives, technology transfer, and capacity-building efforts (Cantarero, 2020). Only by making RET accessible to all can the global transition to carbon neutrality be truly equitable.

The global shift towards renewable energy is driven by the imperative to reduce carbon emissions and transition to sustainable energy sources. However, this transition has been far from equitable, with significant disparities in access to renewable energy technologies (RET) between developed and developing countries. These inequalities are shaped by

historical, economic, and geopolitical factors, leading to uneven development and capacity to adopt RET globally (Cloke et al., 2017). Accessing renewable energy technologies (RET) in developing countries is fraught with numerous challenges that impede their widespread adoption and implementation. Financial barriers are among the most significant obstacles. Developing regions often face severe economic constraints that limit their ability to invest in expensive technologies such as solar panels, wind turbines, and battery storage systems. Without adequate capital, these communities remain reliant on traditional, less sustainable energy sources, perpetuating energy poverty (Zhang & Hanaoka, 2023; Cloke et al., 2017).

Geographic and infrastructure challenges further complicate the situation. Rural and remote areas in developing countries often lack the necessary infrastructure for the installation and maintenance of RET. Limited grid connectivity exacerbates this issue, creating significant logistical and financial hurdles to providing sustainable energy solutions to these regions (Ji & Lin, 2022; Zhang et al., 2022). A critical issue is the shortage of technical expertise and capacity. Many developing regions lack skilled labor capable of installing and maintaining RET effectively. This deficit hinders the deployment and long-term functionality of renewable energy systems, making it challenging to build the necessary technical ecosystems for sustainable energy (Kajiser & Kronsell, 2014).

Institutional inefficiencies also play a pivotal role in slowing the adoption of RET. Inadequate governmental policies, weak institutional frameworks, and a lack of supportive regulations collectively undermine efforts to scale renewable energy projects. Institutional gaps contribute to uncertainty and inefficiency, discouraging investment and collaboration in renewable energy initiatives (Schor, 2015). Finally, socio-economic inequalities exacerbate the challenges of accessing renewable energy. Marginalized groups, including impoverished and indigenous communities, face significant barriers due to social exclusion and a lack of targeted policy measures. These groups are often left out of renewable energy planning and investment, perpetuating energy inequities and limiting their access to sustainable energy resources (Cantarero, 2020; Cloke et al., 2017).

Addressing these challenges requires a multifaceted approach that integrates financial support, infrastructure development, capacity building, institutional reform, and targeted socio-economic interventions. Only through such comprehensive strategies can renewable energy technologies be effectively harnessed to promote equitable and sustainable development in these regions.

The lack of equitable access to renewable energy technologies (RET) intensifies pre-existing social and economic disparities, further marginalizing vulnerable

populations. In regions where RET remains inaccessible, energy poverty persists as a pressing issue. Communities in such areas are often compelled to rely on traditional energy sources, including coal, wood, and other fossil fuels. This dependence not only sustains high levels of greenhouse gas emissions but also contributes to ongoing environmental degradation, exacerbating global climate challenges.

The absence of clean energy solutions widens development gaps, as energy access is a cornerstone of sustainable growth. Communities deprived of renewable energy are limited in their ability to stimulate economic activities, improve public health infrastructure, and enhance educational opportunities. This stagnation creates a cycle of underdevelopment, where the lack of modern energy systems hinders progress in achieving global development goals. The implications extend beyond economic stagnation, affecting overall societal well-being and perpetuating inequalities between regions and populations (Cloke et al., 2017).

Environmental vulnerability is another critical consequence of unequal access to RET. The inability to adopt clean energy systems undermines efforts to enhance climate resilience, leaving already at-risk populations exposed to the adverse effects of climate change. Communities without access to sustainable energy are less prepared to mitigate or adapt to climate-related events, such as extreme weather conditions, rising global temperatures, and natural disasters. This vulnerability not only threatens livelihoods but also exacerbates displacement, food insecurity, and health crises in affected regions.

The unequal distribution of RET access underscores the urgent need for policies and programs that promote inclusivity in renewable energy adoption. Ensuring equitable access to these technologies is essential for addressing energy poverty, narrowing development gaps, and building resilient communities capable of withstanding the challenges posed by climate change. Without such measures, the disparities in access to sustainable energy will continue to deepen, hindering progress toward global equity and environmental sustainability.

To improve access to renewable energy technologies (RET), a comprehensive and inclusive approach to policy development is essential. Financial mechanisms must be established to reduce the economic burden associated with adopting RET. This includes creating subsidies, low-interest loans, and grants to support individuals, communities, and small businesses in accessing renewable energy systems. Governments and international organizations should collaborate to establish funding programs that specifically target economically disadvantaged regions, ensuring that financial barriers do not exclude marginalized populations from transitioning to sustainable energy solutions (Table 1).

**Table 1:** Key Barriers to RET Adoption in Developing Countries

Barrier	Description	Impact
Financial Constraints	Limited funds to invest in RET technologies	Hinders RET adoption in rural areas
Geographic Isolation	Lack of grid connectivity in remote regions	Reduces access to sustainable energy options
Lack of Skilled Workforce	Shortage of expertise in RET installation and maintenance	Delays RET implementation and reduces efficiency
Weak Institutional Frameworks	Inadequate policies and regulatory support	Slows down the expansion of RET projects
Socio-Economic Exclusion	Marginalized communities excluded from energy access	Leads to persistent energy poverty and social inequalities

Strengthening infrastructure is another critical priority. Policymakers must invest in expanding grid connectivity and establishing off-grid solutions in rural and remote areas where traditional energy infrastructure is lacking. These efforts should include the development of microgrids, mini-grids, and standalone systems tailored to the needs of underserved communities. Infrastructure investments should be complemented by robust maintenance frameworks to ensure the longevity and reliability of renewable energy systems in these regions.

Capacity building through education and technical training is vital to foster a skilled workforce capable of installing, operating, and maintaining RET. Governments and educational institutions should partner with private sector actors to design and deliver training programs that address technical skill gaps. These initiatives should focus on empowering local communities by equipping them with the knowledge and tools needed to effectively manage renewable energy projects. Integrating renewable energy education into school curriculums can further promote awareness and encourage youth participation in the transition to sustainable energy.

Policy frameworks must be reformed to create an enabling environment for RET adoption. Governments should implement supportive regulations, including feed-in tariffs, tax incentives, and streamlined permitting processes, to incentivize investment in renewable energy. Enhanced regulatory clarity and consistency can reduce risks for investors and accelerate the scaling of RET. Furthermore, institutional coordination between national and local governments is essential to ensure that policies are implemented effectively and reach the communities that need them most.

Addressing socio-economic inequalities is equally important for achieving equitable RET access. Policymakers should prioritize targeted interventions for marginalized

groups, such as women, indigenous populations, and low-income households. These measures may include tailored financial support, community engagement programs, and the incorporation of diverse voices in energy planning processes. By addressing the unique challenges faced by these groups, governments can promote social inclusion and ensure that the benefits of renewable energy transitions are distributed equitably (Cloke et al., 2017).

International cooperation is also critical to bridging gaps in RET access. Developed nations and international organizations must support technology transfer and capacity-building efforts in developing regions. Collaborative initiatives, such as knowledge-sharing platforms and cross-border partnerships, can facilitate the dissemination of best practices and innovative solutions. These efforts should be accompanied by commitments to climate financing that align with global equity and sustainability goals.

By integrating these policy recommendations, governments and stakeholders can address the multifaceted barriers to RET access and create a more inclusive, sustainable, and equitable energy future. These measures not only support climate goals but also contribute to broader socio-economic development and resilience.

### 3.2. Funding and Infrastructure Disparities: The Uneven Transition to Carbon Neutrality

The global transition to carbon neutrality has exposed significant disparities in funding and infrastructure between developed and developing countries. These inequalities are rooted in historical patterns of economic development, colonialism, and uneven access to global financial resources, leading to divergent capabilities in implementing sustainable energy systems. Developed countries have greater financial means and advanced infrastructure, while developing regions struggle to secure the necessary resources and build the requisite energy systems to meet carbon neutrality goals (Zhang & Hanaoka, 2023; Beck et al., 2013).

In many developed countries, governments and private sectors have made substantial investments in renewable energy technologies and built robust infrastructure to support these systems. This includes efficient energy grids, stable financial markets, and well-established policies for incentivizing low-carbon transitions (Kaijser & Kronsell, 2014). In contrast, developing nations face significant challenges in accessing both funding and infrastructure. These countries often rely heavily on international aid, which may come with conditionalities that limit local autonomy in energy projects (Ji & Lin, 2022; Zhang et al., 2022). Furthermore, the lack of stable financial institutions and insufficient technological infrastructure hampers the

scaling of renewable energy initiatives (Schor, 2015).

The disparities in funding and infrastructure contribute to an uneven transition to carbon neutrality, leaving many developing regions at risk of being left behind. This creates a "double burden" where vulnerable populations—already disproportionately impacted by climate change—lack access to affordable, sustainable energy sources (Akizu et al., 2017). These disparities slow the pace of decarbonization efforts in under-resourced countries, which struggle to transition from fossil fuel dependency to renewable energy systems. Without adequate funding, these regions face barriers to adopting cleaner energy technologies, delaying their participation in global efforts to mitigate climate change (Zhang & Hanaoka, 2023).

Economic inequality is exacerbated by the uneven distribution of funding and infrastructure. Regions without stable infrastructure or adequate financial support fall behind in achieving sustainable development. This gap widens the divide between well-resourced and under-resourced areas, with the latter facing reduced opportunities for economic growth, technological advancement, and social progress. The lack of investment in these regions not only perpetuates existing inequalities but also undermines their ability to compete in the global economy (Kaijser & Kronsell, 2014).

The disparities in access to sustainable energy also heighten climate vulnerability. Regions unable to implement renewable energy solutions remain ill-equipped to address the escalating impacts of climate change, such as extreme weather events, rising sea levels, and prolonged droughts. Vulnerable populations, particularly those in climate-sensitive areas, face heightened risks due to inadequate energy infrastructure and limited financial capacity to adapt or mitigate these challenges. This vulnerability further destabilizes communities, increasing the likelihood of displacement and loss of livelihoods (Schor, 2015).

Addressing funding and infrastructure disparities is essential for promoting an equitable transition to carbon neutrality. This requires international collaboration, targeted investments, and inclusive policy frameworks to ensure that all regions can participate in and benefit from the global shift toward sustainable energy. Bridging these gaps is not only a matter of justice but also a critical step toward achieving collective climate resilience and sustainable development (Table 2).

**Table 2:** Comparison of Funding and Infrastructure in Developed vs. Developing Regions

Factor	Developed Countries	Developing Countries
<b>Funding Sources</b>	Diversified financial markets, government grants, and private investments	Limited access to global financial markets, dependence on international aid

Factor	Developed Countries	Developing Countries
<b>Infrastructure</b>	Advanced energy grids, smart technology, and widespread RET installations	Poor energy grid connectivity, lack of technical capacity for RET installation
<b>Technology</b>	Widespread adoption of renewable energy technologies	Limited access to RET technologies, lack of local technical expertise
<b>Policy Framework</b>	Stable and supportive regulatory environments	Weak or inconsistent energy policies and governance

Source: Own

### 3.3. Regional Inequities in the Transition to Carbon Neutrality

#### 3.3.1. Intersectional Approaches to Climate Policy

The transition to carbon neutrality has revealed significant regional inequities in access to resources, funding, and infrastructure. These disparities are influenced by historical, economic, and political factors, which create uneven opportunities for regions to achieve sustainable energy goals. This paper explores various regional case studies to highlight these inequities, focusing on key factors such as differences in access to renewable energy technologies (RET), financial investment, and infrastructure development.

To effectively address the inequities that arise from climate change, it is crucial that climate policies adopt intersectional approaches that consider the interconnected nature of factors such as gender, race, and socioeconomic status. These dimensions significantly influence the social and economic impacts of climate change, and without taking them into account, policies risk exacerbating existing inequalities. To ensure that vulnerable communities receive adequate support, climate actions must go beyond traditional, sector-specific strategies and adopt inclusive, participatory frameworks that address the unique needs of diverse populations.

One key component of effective climate policy is gender-responsive approaches. Climate change disproportionately affects women, who often play critical roles in natural resource management, agriculture, and household energy use. Despite their critical contributions, women are frequently underrepresented in decision-making processes related to climate action and governance. Gender-responsive policies must prioritize the active inclusion of women, ensuring that they have a voice in shaping climate initiatives. By mainstreaming gender in climate efforts, these policies help to ensure that solutions are designed to account for the distinct experiences and needs of women, fostering more equitable outcomes (Akizu et al., 2017).

Another essential aspect of equitable climate action is climate financing that is inclusive and just. Marginalized

communities, including low-income populations, indigenous groups, and other vulnerable populations, often lack access to adequate financial resources to invest in sustainable energy technologies, build climate-resilient infrastructure, or implement effective adaptation strategies. Climate finance mechanisms must be designed to specifically target these communities, ensuring that resources are distributed fairly and inclusively. By directing funds toward the needs of those most impacted by climate change, these mechanisms can help bridge the gap in access to critical resources and support sustainable development efforts (Kaijser & Kronsell, 2014).

Furthermore, community-centric adaptation strategies play a vital role in building resilience to climate impacts. These approaches must involve local communities in decision-making processes, recognizing that individuals on the ground possess invaluable knowledge about their unique environmental conditions and challenges. Effective adaptation efforts should be informed by this local knowledge and reflect culturally appropriate solutions that align with the values, traditions, and needs of the communities affected. By centering community input, adaptation strategies become more responsive, sustainable, and impactful (Plastina, 2022).

Lastly, inclusive governance frameworks are necessary to ensure that diverse social groups—regardless of gender, race, or socioeconomic status—are represented in climate decision-making. Effective governance requires the active engagement of marginalized populations in creating policies that directly impact their lives. Intersectional governance frameworks should integrate cross-sectoral approaches that foster collaboration among various stakeholders, including government bodies, civil society, and local communities. By ensuring diverse participation in governance, these frameworks not only promote fairness but also build legitimacy and trust in climate governance (Ji & Lin, 2022; Zhang et al., 2022).

Intersectional approaches to climate policy must address the underlying structural inequalities that deepen climate vulnerability. Climate impacts are not experienced uniformly; marginalized groups, particularly those already facing economic, social, and political exclusion, are more susceptible to the cascading effects of climate hazards. Intersectional strategies that account for these compounding factors are necessary to design policies that do not just provide short-term relief, but also foster long-term resilience by addressing the root causes of vulnerability.

By incorporating these intersectional approaches—such as gender-responsive policies, equitable financing, and community-centered adaptation, and inclusive governance—climate policies can move toward more equitable and sustainable outcomes. These strategies recognize that climate resilience and justice are interconnected, and that

addressing the disparities stemming from intersecting social identities is essential for creating a truly inclusive and sustainable climate future. Climate action that fails to consider these interconnected factors risks further marginalizing those already facing the greatest challenges, deepening inequality, and hindering global efforts to combat climate change. Therefore, it is critical that future climate policies prioritize intersectionality as a guiding principle, ensuring that efforts to build resilience, implement adaptation strategies, and distribute resources are both comprehensive and just (Lei et al., 2023; Ji & Lin, 2022; Zhang et al., 2022).

### 3.3.2. Local Capacity Building

To effectively tackle the growing regional inequities in renewable energy access, policymakers must adopt comprehensive and context-sensitive strategies that address the unique socio-economic, geographic, and institutional challenges of each region. A generic, one-size-fits-all approach will no longer suffice, as the barriers to clean energy are deeply entrenched and vary significantly from one area to another.

First and foremost, expanding financial support is critical. To bridge the financial gap in renewable energy deployment, governments must secure access to both international climate finance and develop mechanisms that stimulate private sector involvement. By fostering partnerships between public institutions and private entities, significant investments can be directed toward large-scale renewable energy projects, particularly in areas with limited economic capacity. This approach should include mobilizing both domestic and foreign capital, ensuring that communities—especially those in rural or underserved regions—are not excluded from the transition to sustainable energy. Climate finance mechanisms must be tailored to meet the needs of these regions, addressing not only capital investment but also the long-term financial sustainability of RET initiatives.

Second, developing stable and resilient infrastructure is vital for making renewable energy accessible to remote and rural areas. In many underdeveloped regions, energy grids remain underdeveloped, limiting the ability to extend renewable energy technologies to communities far from central power systems. Policymakers must prioritize the construction of sustainable energy infrastructure that ensures the integration of RET into local energy networks, creating systems capable of delivering clean energy reliably to previously underserved populations. This includes investing in modern grid technologies, energy storage solutions, and smart grid systems that can handle fluctuations in renewable energy generation, ultimately improving energy security and stability.

Additionally, strengthening regional cooperation plays a

crucial role in promoting equitable and efficient energy development. Often, the development of renewable energy is hampered by the lack of collaboration between neighboring regions, where resources and knowledge could be shared more effectively. By fostering regional partnerships and multilateral agreements, policymakers can encourage cross-border collaboration, allowing for the optimal use of shared energy resources and technologies. This cooperation not only enhances resource allocation but also facilitates the exchange of expertise, best practices, and lessons learned, fostering a more unified and sustainable energy transition across broader geographical areas.

A fundamental aspect of creating lasting change is focusing on local capacity building. One of the major barriers to renewable energy adoption in developing regions is the lack of technical expertise among local communities. Without the necessary skills to install, operate, and maintain renewable energy technologies, these areas struggle to develop self-sufficient energy systems. It is therefore crucial to design and implement targeted technical training and capacity-building programs that empower local populations. These initiatives should provide communities with the knowledge and skills to not only participate in renewable energy projects but also sustain and expand them over time. Capacity-building efforts must be holistic, incorporating practical hands-on training while fostering leadership development and long-term community engagement.

## **4. Intersectionality in Climate Policy**

### **4.1. Gender, Race, and Socioeconomic Dimensions in Climate Change Policy**

The convergence of gender, race, and socioeconomic status makes the effects of climate change uniquely compounded for certain groups. Climate policies that fail to consider these intersecting factors risk deepening existing inequalities. For instance, poorly designed adaptation programs that focus solely on technology transfer or infrastructure development often overlook the social and cultural dimensions, failing to empower marginalized communities (Plastina, 2022). Similarly, many climate finance mechanisms do not account for the specific needs of vulnerable populations, leading to inequitable distribution of resources (Akizu et al., 2017).

Climate change is a global crisis, yet its impacts are not experienced equally across different populations. The intersectionality of gender, race, and socioeconomic status plays a crucial role in shaping the vulnerability and adaptive capacity of individuals and communities. The way climate policies are designed, implemented, and experienced often reflects and amplifies existing social inequalities, leaving

marginalized groups disproportionately affected. This essay explores how gender, race, and socioeconomic factors intersect in climate change policy, influencing access to resources, resilience, and decision-making (Plastina, 2022).

Women and other gender minorities tend to face greater climate vulnerabilities due to pre-existing social, economic, and cultural inequalities. Globally, women represent a majority of small-scale farmers, yet they have unequal access to critical resources like land, credit, and technology (Nyasimi & Jakarasi, 2024). In many developing countries, women often carry the responsibility for household water collection, fuelwood gathering, and food preparation. These daily tasks expose them to climate-related risks, such as water scarcity and rising temperatures, which impact their livelihoods and health (Zhang & Hanaoka, 2023; Cottle, 2009). Despite their essential role in community resilience, gendered power structures in climate governance tend to marginalize women's voices, limiting their participation in decision-making processes (Lei et al., 2023; Ji & Lin, 2022).

Climate change disproportionately affects people of color, especially in regions already facing systemic inequalities such as historical marginalization, economic exploitation, and political disenfranchisement. Indigenous communities, for instance, have long faced dispossession of land and resources, and their traditional knowledge systems are often excluded from formal climate policymaking (Plastina, 2022; Schor, 2015). These communities rely heavily on their land for sustenance, and the loss of biodiversity due to climate change further exacerbates their socio-economic vulnerability. Similarly, Black, Latinx, and other racial minority groups in urban and peri-urban areas often experience greater exposure to climate hazards, such as heatwaves and air pollution, due to the concentration of industrial sites and limited access to green spaces (Akizu et al., 2017).

Socioeconomic disparities intersect deeply with climate change, shaping who has the capacity to adapt and who remains vulnerable. Low-income communities and marginalized groups often live in areas more exposed to environmental hazards, such as flood-prone regions, coastal areas, and urban slums, where infrastructure is inadequate (Nyasimi & Jakarasi, 2024). These communities have fewer resources to invest in resilience-building measures, such as flood defenses or climate-proofing infrastructure. Climate adaptation often requires significant financial investment, but marginalized groups face barriers to accessing affordable financing and insurance schemes (Lei et al., 2023; Ji & Lin, 2022). In contrast, wealthier populations in developed nations have the financial means to retreat from high-risk areas, invest in sustainable energy, and implement adaptive strategies, reinforcing inequalities (Cottle, 2009).

To address these disparities, climate policies must adopt an inclusive, intersectional approach that recognizes the

varied experiences of different social groups. This involves ensuring the active participation of women, racial minorities, and low-income communities in all stages of climate governance—planning, implementation, and evaluation. Climate decision-making processes should prioritize equity, focusing on integrating local knowledge, empowering marginalized voices, and redistributing resources in a way that addresses historical and structural inequalities (Zhang & Hanaoka, 2023).

**Table 3:** Intersectionality in Climate Policy

Dimension	Key Issues	Impacts
Gender	Disproportionate burden in household tasks, exclusion from governance	Reduced participation in decision-making, increased vulnerability to climate risks
Race	Historical marginalization, exposure to environmental hazards	Greater climate vulnerability, loss of traditional knowledge systems
Socioeconomic	Limited access to resources, inadequate infrastructure	Increased vulnerability to climate impacts, limited adaptive capacity
Intersectionality	Combined impact of gender, race, and economic status	Amplified climate vulnerability, systemic inequalities

Source: Own

The intersectionality of gender, race, and socioeconomic status plays a critical role in shaping the social and economic consequences of climate change. Recognizing and addressing these intersecting factors is essential for the development of equitable and effective climate policies. Policies that fail to integrate an intersectional perspective risk perpetuating and exacerbating systemic inequalities, further marginalizing already vulnerable populations and undermining efforts to promote climate justice (Table 3).

Marginalized groups often face disproportionate vulnerability to climate hazards, with compounding risks arising from their social, economic, and environmental conditions. Women, racial minorities, and low-income populations are particularly susceptible to health impacts, displacement, and economic instability due to limited access to resources, healthcare, and social support systems. For instance, extreme weather events often disproportionately affect low-income communities, which are less likely to have the infrastructure or resources needed to recover effectively (Plastina, 2022). These unequal vulnerabilities highlight the importance of tailoring climate adaptation strategies to address the specific risks faced by diverse groups.

Adaptation efforts that lack an intersectional approach frequently overlook the unique needs of disadvantaged

communities, reducing their overall effectiveness. Women, for example, often play key roles in managing household resources and agricultural activities, yet adaptation strategies rarely account for their expertise or address the gender-specific barriers they face. Similarly, racial minorities and low-income communities are frequently excluded from decision-making processes, leading to adaptation measures that fail to consider their lived experiences and priorities. Without targeted strategies that explicitly address these intersectional dimensions, adaptation efforts risk reinforcing existing inequalities and failing to build resilience among the most vulnerable populations (Nyasimi & Jakarasi, 2024).

Climate change also deepens existing inequalities, creating a feedback loop that perpetuates poverty and systemic exclusion. The compounded effects of economic instability, social marginalization, and environmental degradation hinder disadvantaged groups from escaping cycles of vulnerability. For instance, communities already facing socio-economic barriers may struggle to access financial support or technological innovations necessary for climate adaptation, leaving them disproportionately affected by climate impacts over time. This dynamic not only exacerbates disparities within societies but also undermines the broader goals of sustainable development and global equity (Zhang & Hanaoka, 2023).

To address these challenges, climate policies must adopt an intersectional framework that considers the diverse experiences and needs of affected populations. This involves engaging marginalized groups in the policymaking process, ensuring equitable access to resources, and designing targeted interventions that address systemic inequities. By incorporating an intersectional perspective, policymakers can develop strategies that enhance resilience, promote social justice, and foster inclusive climate action. Such an approach is essential for ensuring that the transition to a sustainable future benefits all communities, particularly those most at risk.

Firstly, gender-responsive policies play a crucial role in promoting equality in climate action. These policies must mainstream gender considerations across all levels of decision-making, ensuring the full participation of women in climate governance, policymaking, and program implementation. By actively engaging women, whose unique roles often contribute significantly to household energy management, food security, and sustainable agriculture, these initiatives can better address the specific needs and priorities of women. Furthermore, fostering gender-sensitive approaches allows for the identification of barriers that women face, such as limited access to financial resources and decision-making spaces, thus leading to more effective and equitable climate solutions (Apergi et al., 2024).

In addition, equitable climate financing is essential to

ensuring that funds are distributed fairly and inclusively. Traditional climate finance mechanisms often fail to account for the needs of marginalized communities, leaving these groups without adequate resources to invest in sustainable energy solutions, resilient infrastructure, or adaptation measures. To rectify this, climate finance initiatives must be specifically designed to target the unique challenges faced by low-income communities, indigenous groups, and other vulnerable populations. These efforts should aim to establish accessible and transparent funding mechanisms that provide equitable access to financial resources, with a particular emphasis on community-led projects and grassroots initiatives (Nyasimi & Jakarasi, 2024).

Another critical component is community-centric adaptation. Climate adaptation strategies must not be imposed from the top down; instead, they should be developed through an inclusive, bottom-up approach that centers local knowledge, experiences, and priorities. Communities are the frontline responders to climate impacts, and their traditional knowledge, cultural practices, and livelihoods offer valuable insights into how best to address local climate challenges. Building adaptation efforts around this local knowledge fosters resilience by ensuring that solutions are contextually appropriate and tailored to the specific needs of each community. Additionally, involving communities in decision-making processes empowers them to actively participate in shaping their own futures, fostering collective ownership and sustainable outcomes (Plastina, 2022).

Finally, inclusive governance frameworks are vital to integrating diverse social groups into climate policy and action. Effective governance should reflect the heterogeneity of society by ensuring the representation of various social, economic, and cultural groups. Intersectional governance frameworks that account for multiple dimensions of social identity—such as gender, race, economic status, and geographic location—can foster cross-sector collaboration and prevent the exclusion of any population. By adopting a participatory and transparent decision-making process that actively seeks the input of marginalized communities, these frameworks help build legitimacy, accountability, and trust, ensuring that climate solutions are equitable and socially just (Lei et al., 2023; Ji & Lin, 2022).

#### **4.1. Indigenous Communities and Vulnerability in Climate Change Policy**

Indigenous communities have long lived in harmony with nature, relying on traditional knowledge systems to sustain their livelihoods and preserve the environment. However, as climate change intensifies, these communities face heightened vulnerability due to their close relationship with land, natural resources, and ecosystems. Climate

change policies often overlook the unique needs, values, and knowledge of Indigenous populations, leaving them exposed to the adverse impacts of global warming. This essay explores the specific vulnerabilities faced by Indigenous communities and the ways in which climate change policy can better incorporate their perspectives to build resilience.

For Indigenous peoples, the land is not just a source of resources, but a spiritual and cultural foundation that sustains their way of life. Many Indigenous communities have depended on subsistence farming, hunting, and fishing for generations, and their survival is intricately tied to healthy ecosystems (Plastina, 2022). Climate change disrupts these ecosystems, leading to unpredictable weather patterns, loss of biodiversity, and decreased agricultural yields, which severely impact Indigenous livelihoods (Apergi et al., 2024). Additionally, climate-induced displacement has forced many Indigenous groups to leave ancestral lands, resulting in the erosion of cultural heritage, traditional practices, and community identity (Lei et al., 2023; Ji & Lin, 2022).

Indigenous peoples have historically been marginalized from political and economic systems, often excluded from decision-making processes that affect their lands and resources. Colonialism, land dispossession, and exploitation have left many Indigenous communities with limited access to basic services such as healthcare, education, and infrastructure (Beck et al., 2013). These systemic inequalities make them more vulnerable to the impacts of climate change, as they lack the adaptive capacity to cope with environmental shocks (Nyasimi & Jakarasi, 2024). Furthermore, the exploitation of natural resources on Indigenous territories by industrial activities has led to environmental degradation and loss of traditional knowledge that could contribute to climate solutions (Plastina, 2022).

Indigenous knowledge systems are crucial for understanding climate patterns, predicting environmental changes, and developing sustainable adaptation strategies (Beck et al., 2013). Traditional ecological knowledge (TEK) has been honed over centuries and provides valuable insights into sustainable land management, biodiversity conservation, and climate resilience. Including Indigenous knowledge in climate change policy can lead to more effective and culturally appropriate solutions that respect the rights and sovereignty of Indigenous communities (Lei et al., 2023). Yet, global climate frameworks often fail to recognize this knowledge as legitimate, leading to the marginalization of Indigenous voices in international climate negotiations (Apergi et al., 2024).

Effective climate resilience in Indigenous communities depends on community-driven solutions that reflect their cultural practices and local knowledge. Many Indigenous communities have implemented sustainable practices, such as agroforestry, water conservation, and traditional fire management, which contribute to climate adaptation and

mitigation (Nyasimi & Jakarasi, 2024). Recognizing and supporting these community-based initiatives can empower Indigenous populations to adapt to climate change while preserving their cultural heritage and autonomy. However, government and international climate programs must ensure that such initiatives are funded and integrated into broader climate strategies, without diluting or ignoring Indigenous contributions (Bond, 2018).

Despite their significant contributions to climate solutions, Indigenous communities continue to face barriers in accessing funding, legal recognition, and support from government-led climate programs. Climate finance mechanisms often do not consider the unique socio-economic and cultural contexts of Indigenous populations, making it difficult for these communities to access financial resources for adaptation and resilience-building (Lei et al., 2023; Ji & Lin, 2022). Additionally, climate policies often lack clear accountability measures to ensure that Indigenous rights to land, water, and traditional knowledge are respected in the implementation of global climate agreements (Beck et al., 2013).

The vulnerability of Indigenous communities to climate change is deeply tied to historical exclusion, systemic inequalities, and the erosion of traditional knowledge. To effectively address climate impacts, climate change policies must adopt an inclusive approach that respects the rights, knowledge, and sovereignty of Indigenous peoples. Building resilience in these communities requires the integration of Indigenous knowledge, community-driven solutions, and equitable climate finance that acknowledges their unique circumstances. By doing so, climate policies can move beyond short-term solutions and toward sustainable outcomes that benefit both Indigenous populations and the global environment (Table 4).

**Table 4:** Climate Vulnerabilities Faced by Indigenous Communities

Aspect	Key Issues	Impacts
Environmental Dependency	Dependence on land, natural resources, and ecosystems	Loss of livelihoods, food insecurity, ecosystem degradation
Marginalization	Historical exclusion from political decision-making	Limited access to resources, reduced adaptive capacity
Cultural Erosion	Displacement from ancestral lands	Loss of traditional knowledge, weakening of cultural identity
Adaptation Capacity	Limited access to funding, legal recognition	Inability to implement effective adaptation strategies
Participation in Policy	Exclusion from international climate negotiations	Marginalized voices in global climate governance

Source: Own

## 4.2. Inclusion in Policymaking Processes: The Role of Climate Change Policy

Inclusion in policymaking processes is essential to ensure that diverse voices, especially those of marginalized and vulnerable communities, are heard and their needs are addressed in climate change policymaking. Despite growing recognition of the importance of inclusive governance, marginalized populations—such as Indigenous communities, women, and low-income groups—continue to face barriers in participating in decision-making processes. Climate change exacerbates existing social, economic, and environmental inequalities, making inclusive policymaking a crucial element in crafting effective and sustainable solutions. This essay examines the significance of inclusion in climate change policymaking and explores the mechanisms that can foster meaningful participation.

One of the main obstacles to inclusion in climate policymaking is the structural exclusion of certain groups, which is often reinforced by power imbalances and limited access to information. Historically, marginalized populations, including Indigenous communities and economically disadvantaged groups, have been underrepresented in global and national climate negotiations (Beck et al., 2013; Cottle, 2009). Climate policies are frequently developed without consulting these groups, leading to solutions that fail to address their specific needs or priorities. For instance, many women, especially in rural areas, are disproportionately impacted by climate-induced disasters, but their knowledge and experiences are often ignored in the design of adaptation strategies (Lei et al., 2023; Ji & Lin, 2022).

Moreover, low-income communities face financial and institutional barriers that prevent them from participating effectively in policymaking. Limited access to resources, such as internet connectivity and financial support for travel, restricts their ability to engage in consultations, workshops, and forums (Bond, 2018). This exclusion not only prevents their voices from shaping climate policies but also exacerbates their vulnerabilities to climate impacts.

Inclusive decision-making in climate change policy fosters more equitable and sustainable outcomes by incorporating diverse perspectives and knowledge systems. Climate solutions should be informed by the lived experiences of those most impacted, which includes the insights of women, youth, Indigenous peoples, and other marginalized groups (Nyasimi & Jakarasi, 2024). Indigenous communities, for example, possess traditional ecological knowledge (TEK) that can provide valuable insights into sustainable land management and climate adaptation strategies (Beck et al., 2013; Cottle, 2009). By integrating this knowledge into policymaking, governments can develop culturally appropriate and context-specific solutions that enhance resilience and promote long-term

sustainability (Table 5).

Furthermore, inclusive policymaking strengthens social cohesion by creating a sense of ownership and shared responsibility among diverse communities. When marginalized groups are engaged in the design and implementation of climate policies, they are more likely to contribute to their success and actively participate in adaptation efforts (Lei et al., 2023; Ji & Lin, 2022). This can lead to more effective and locally driven solutions that address both immediate and long-term climate challenges.

To ensure meaningful inclusion in climate change policymaking, several mechanisms can be employed. First, decision-makers must establish clear and accessible channels for stakeholder engagement. This includes organizing participatory workshops, consultations, and community forums that allow diverse groups to voice their concerns and propose solutions (Bond, 2018). Second, strengthening the capacity of marginalized populations to engage in policymaking through education, training, and access to information is crucial. Providing financial support and logistical assistance can enable more equitable participation in global and national discussions (Nyasimi & Jakarasi, 2024).

**Table 5:** Mechanisms to Promote Inclusion in Climate Policymaking

Mechanism	Description	Key Impact
Participatory Workshops	Organizing community consultations and forums	Enables diverse groups to voice concerns and contribute
Capacity Building	Providing education, training, and access to information	Strengthens marginalized populations' engagement
Accountability Mechanisms	Establishing participatory frameworks and monitoring	Ensures transparency and inclusivity in policymaking
Climate Financing	Targeted funding for grassroots and community-driven	Directs resources to support vulnerable communities

Source: Own

Third, governments and international bodies must institutionalize mechanisms that ensure accountability and transparency in inclusive processes. This involves setting up participatory frameworks with clear mandates for including marginalized groups in decision-making, alongside mechanisms for monitoring progress and ensuring inclusivity in policy implementation (Beck et al., 2013; Cottle, 2009). Lastly, climate financing must be designed to specifically target the needs of vulnerable communities, with provisions that ensure funds are directed toward grassroots initiatives and community-driven projects (Lei et

al., 2023; Ji & Lin, 2022).

Climate finance plays a crucial role in fostering inclusion by providing the necessary resources for marginalized groups to participate in adaptation and mitigation efforts. Yet, access to climate finance remains a significant challenge for many vulnerable communities. Funding mechanisms often lack the flexibility to meet the specific needs of these groups, and the application processes are complex, making it difficult for marginalized communities to secure resources (Bond, 2018; Schor, 2015). For meaningful inclusion, climate financing must prioritize bottom-up approaches, where funding is distributed directly to communities to support locally driven projects that align with their needs and aspirations.

## 5. Market-based Mechanisms: Redesigning Distribution Systems for Climate Justice

Climate change presents a global challenge, yet its impacts are distributed unevenly across regions, reflecting profound disparities in vulnerability, preparedness, and access to adaptive resources. In the context of distribution systems and channel management, the inequitable allocation of climate burdens highlights the critical need for targeted strategies to ensure fairness and justice. Addressing these imbalances requires reimagining climate action as a multidimensional distribution problem—one that encompasses the fair allocation of resources, equitable access to adaptation mechanisms, and the just distribution of responsibilities among nations, regions, and communities.

From a distribution science perspective, the uneven impacts of climate change can be conceptualized as inefficiencies and inequities within global and local distribution systems. Vulnerable regions, often in the Global South, disproportionately bear the brunt of climate hazards despite contributing minimally to global emissions. These regions frequently lack the infrastructural and financial channels necessary to mitigate and adapt to climate change. For instance, the flow of financial aid and technological support from developed nations to developing countries often encounters bottlenecks in the distribution network, leading to delays, inefficiencies, and resource mismatches.

Market-based mechanisms such as carbon trading and offsetting illustrate how distribution channels can inadvertently perpetuate inequities. These systems often allow wealthier nations or corporations to outsource emissions reductions to less developed regions, shifting the burden of mitigation efforts without addressing underlying structural disparities. This dynamic raises critical ethical concerns about the justice and accountability of such mechanisms, as they risk reinforcing existing inequalities rather than fostering inclusive solutions.

Similarly, the distribution of adaptation resources, including infrastructure development, disaster preparedness, and access to climate-resilient technologies, highlights the importance of equitable channel management. Effective climate policies must prioritize the allocation of these resources to regions and communities most affected by climate change. Distribution systems must be designed to overcome barriers such as inadequate governance, logistical challenges, and socio-economic disparities to ensure that vulnerable populations are not excluded from adaptation benefits.

Achieving climate justice requires a paradigm shift in how we conceptualize and manage distribution systems in the climate policy domain. By integrating principles of equity and inclusivity into distribution frameworks, policymakers can address systemic inefficiencies and promote fair outcomes. For example, establishing transparent and accountable distribution channels for climate financing can ensure that funds reach their intended beneficiaries, empowering local communities to build resilience. Moreover, participatory approaches to decision-making can help align resource distribution with the needs and priorities of marginalized groups, fostering more inclusive and sustainable solutions.

## 6. Conclusions

For climate policies to achieve sustainable and impactful results, they must move beyond isolated, sector-specific approaches and adopt integrated distribution strategies. These strategies should include gender-responsive policymaking, equitable climate financing, and community-centered adaptation measures to ensure efficient delivery of resources and support to vulnerable populations disproportionately affected by climate change. Such logistics-driven and inclusive approaches enhance the effectiveness of climate initiatives by ensuring equitable resource allocation and fostering resilience across all communities.

Policymakers can optimize the distribution channels of climate solutions by addressing critical areas such as financial resource delivery, infrastructure development, cross-regional trade collaboration, and capacity-building at the local level. Tailored strategies that account for regional trade dynamics and logistical constraints are vital to overcoming barriers to clean energy access. These efforts can stimulate economic growth, improve living conditions, and enhance climate resilience. Incorporating intersectional factors—such as gender, race, and socioeconomic status—into climate action plans ensures that marginalized populations actively contribute to shaping effective and inclusive responses.

Inclusive governance is a key element in addressing the logistical challenges of climate change. Engaging underrepresented groups, including women, Indigenous peoples, and economically disadvantaged communities, in policymaking ensures that their perspectives and unique needs inform the delivery of climate solutions. Climate strategies that incorporate diverse knowledge systems and participatory frameworks are better equipped to foster resilience and sustainability while promoting equity in the distribution of resources. Moreover, targeted financing mechanisms and capacity-building initiatives further empower inclusive governance, aligning resource allocation with the needs of all stakeholders.

This study emphasizes the importance of leveraging intersectional frameworks to improve climate policy delivery, though it highlights several logistical challenges requiring further exploration. One primary challenge is addressing the context-specific complexities of resource distribution. While the research advocates for tailored strategies, it lacks a comprehensive framework for adapting these approaches to diverse regional and cultural contexts. This gap raises concerns about the scalability and applicability of these methods in varied environments.

Scalability remains a critical hurdle for community-centric and participatory methods. Although such approaches are effective in localized contexts, they often face significant logistical and institutional challenges when expanded to national or global scales. The study provides limited analysis of how localized solutions can be adapted to address broader systemic trade and distribution challenges.

The unequal distribution of climate change impacts underscores the urgency of applying justice-centered approaches to global climate action. Leveraging distribution science principles offers a pathway to develop equitable and efficient systems that address these disparities. By optimizing resource delivery networks, trade systems, and financing channels, all regions and communities can be equipped with the tools necessary to thrive in the face of climate change. This approach not only promotes climate justice but also enhances the overall efficiency and sustainability of global climate responses.

Resource allocation poses another significant challenge. Implementing inclusive and intersectional frameworks requires substantial financial, logistical, and human resources, which are often limited in underdeveloped or resource-constrained regions. The study does not fully address how policymakers can overcome these constraints to ensure fair and efficient resource delivery systems.

Finally, political and institutional barriers remain a major obstacle to achieving equitable climate solutions. While the study recognizes the role of governance structures and political will in influencing the distribution and

implementation of climate policies, it offers limited insights into practical strategies for navigating these barriers. The complexity of institutional inertia and competing interests requires more in-depth exploration to facilitate meaningful progress toward inclusive and sustainable climate policies. Addressing these gaps will help deliver climate solutions that are both equitable and scalable, ensuring their effectiveness across diverse economic and social contexts.

## References

- Akizu, O., Urkidi, L., Bueno, G., Lago, R., Barcena, I., Mantxo, M. & Lopez-Guede, J. M. (2017). Tracing the emerging energy transitions in the Global North and the Global South. *International journal of hydrogen energy*, 42(28), 18045-18063. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2017.04.297>
- Apergi, M., Eicke, L., Goldthau, A., Hashem, M., Huneeus, S., de Oliveira, R. L. & Veit, K. (2024). An energy justice index for the energy transition in the global South. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2023.114238>
- Baatz, C., & Ott, K. (2017). In Defense of Emissions Egalitarianism? In L. H. Meyer & P. Sanklecha (Eds.), *Climate Justice and Historical Emissions* (pp. 165-197). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Beck, U., Blok, A., Tyfield, D., & Zhang, J. Y. (2013). Cosmopolitan communities of climate risk: conceptual and empirical suggestions for a new research agenda. *Global Networks*, 13(1), 1-21. <https://doi.org/10.1111/glob.12001>
- Bond, P. (2018). Equitable, just access to natural resources: Environmental narratives during worsening climate crises. *Factor X: Challenges, implementation strategies and examples for a sustainable use of natural resources*, 93-111.
- Cantarero, M. M. V. (2020). Of renewable energy, energy democracy, and sustainable development: A roadmap to accelerate the energy transition in developing countries. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2020.101716>
- Chancel, L. (2020). *Unsustainable inequalities: Social justice and the environment*. Harvard University Press.
- Chancel, L. (2022). Global carbon inequality over 1990-2019. *Nature Sustainability* 5, 931-938. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-022-00955-z>
- Cloke, J., Mohr, A., & Brown, E. (2017). Imagining renewable energy: Towards a Social Energy Systems approach to community renewable energy projects in the Global South. *Energy research & social science*, 31, 263-272. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2017.06.023>
- Cottle, S. (2009). Global crises in the news: Staging new wars, disasters and climate change. *International Journal of Communication*, 3, 24.
- Guterman, A. S. (2022). Intersectionality, Climate Change and Climate Justice. Available at SSRN 4306987.
- Ji, J., & Lin, H. (2022). Evaluating regional carbon inequality and its dependence with carbon efficiency: implications for carbon neutrality. *Energies*, 15(19). <https://doi.org/10.3390/en15197022>
- Kaijser, A., & Kronsell, A. (2014). Climate change through the lens of intersectionality. *Environmental politics*, 23(3), 417-433. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09644016.2013.835203>
- Lei, L., Liu, J., & Zhou, X. (2023). Addressing carbon inequity: examining factors driving the path to just transition. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2023.107280>
- Nyasimi, M., & Jakarasi, V. N. (2024). Persisting Inequalities: An Intersectional View of Climate Change, Gender and Violence. In *The Palgrave Handbook of Violence in Africa* (pp. 879-892). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
- Plastina, A. F. (2022). Changing discourses of climate change: building social-ecological resilience cross-culturally. *Text & Talk*, 42(4), 591-612. <https://doi.org/10.1515/text-2020-0078>
- Schor, J. (2015). Climate, inequality, and the need for reframing climate policy. *Review of Radical Political Economics*, 47(4), 525-536. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0486613415576114>
- Thompson, J. (2017). Historical Responsibility and Climate Change. In L. H. Meyer & P. Sanklecha (Eds.), *Climate Justice and Historical Emissions* (pp. 46-60), Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Zhang, F., Wang, X., & Liu, G. (2022). Allocation of carbon emission quotas based on global equality perspective. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 29(35), 53553-53568. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-19619-8>
- Zhang, R., & Hanaoka, T. (2023). Opportunities and challenges of post-pandemic's new normal: Rethinking the contribution of the transport sector to China's carbon neutrality by 2060. *Science of The Total Environment*, 894. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.164976>